

32/Response
Hawkins
8/28/02

Doc. Id :55885

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) PATENT
Mats LEIJON et al.) Group: 2834
Serial No. 08/973,017) Examiner: B. Mullins
Filed: November 13, 2000) Attorney. Docket. No.: 66291-179-2



For: ROTATING ELECTRIC MACHINE PLANTS

* * * * *

PRELIMINARY COMMENTS

RECEIVED
AUG 12 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
August 8, 2002

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Concurrently with the filing of the application and in response to the Office Action of June 1, 2001, Applicant hereby incorporates the amendments in the prior response and makes the following remarks in response to the Examiner's reply of February 8, 2002.

REMARKS

These remarks are in response to the Office Action of February 8, 2002 in which the Examiner rejected the claims on the same grounds as in the prior office action, and the Examiner made certain responses to Applicants' arguments presented in response thereto.

Applicants incorporate the prior responses herein including the amendments and remarks accompanying such amendments. In addition, Applicants make the following comments regarding the Examiner's reply.

The terms "low voltage" and "high voltage" each have a meaning in the art. In conventional machine technology high voltage means what has heretofore been considered the highest voltage achievable in the rotating machine art. High voltage around 10-20 kV, and